
**JAYPEE INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

**DEPARTMENT OF CSE & IT**



**B. TECH 7TH SEMESTER, 2020**

**TERM PAPER EVALUATION REPORT**

**Automated Testcase generation**

**Submitted By:**

1. **Abhishek Srivastava (17103334)**
2. **Rishabh Kejariwal (17103355)**
3. **Tanmay Agrawal (17103350)**

**Supervised By:**

**Mrs. Deepti Singh**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Chapter No. Topics Page No.**

**Chapter-1 Introduction**

1.1 General Introduction 6

**Chapter-2 Literature Review** 7 – 36

2.1 Summary of Each Paper

**Chapter-3 Conclusion and Identified Research Gaps**

3.1 Conclusion 37 3.2 Future Work 37

3.3 Identified Research Gaps 37

**Chapter- 4 References** 38-39

**Abstract**

Testing is generally done on programming and additionally in web for testing customer and server engineering. Program testing is one of the major and essential procedures for accomplishing high quality software. Testing is accomplished to identify nearness of faults, which cause programming failures.

However, programming testing is a tedious and costly task. It expends practically half of the product frame work assets required for system development. Programming testing can likewise be characterized as procedure of confirming and validating program software to guarantee that product meets the specialized and in addition business necessities as expected in terms of technology.

**Software testing is a major portion of software development process, so inspired by this thought we are trying to create a website where user can come and interact with the website and the website will help them to create testcases for their programming problems.**

Like user can come and request testcases for graph or and array or a character array and side by side we are also giving them an api which they can use in their VSCODE to get the outputs for the inputs generated automatically.

Companies like **InterviewBit**, **Codechef**, **Codeforces**, **GeeksForGeeks**, **Atcoder** hire problem setters to create variety of programming problems for coding contest and their product library, the main issue faced here is the testcase generation.

We are trying to reduce this gap as to help the users to get the desired testcases in minutes.

**LIST OF TABLES**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Table Number** | **Table Heading** | **Page Number** |
| 1. | Paper-1 Information | 7 |
| 2. | Paper-2 Information | 8 |
| 3. | Paper-3 Information | 9 |
| 4. | Paper-4 Information | 10 |
| 5. | Paper-5 Information | 11 |
| 6. | Paper-6 Information | 12 |
| 7. | Paper-7 Information | 13 |
| 8. | Paper-8 Information | 14 |
| 9. | Paper-9 Information | 15 |
| 10. | Paper-10 Information | 16 |
| 11. | Paper-11 Information | 17 |
| 12. | Paper-12 Information | 18 |
| 13. | Paper-13 Information | 19 |
| 14. | Paper-14 Information | 20 |
| 15. | Paper-15 Information | 21 |
| 16. | Paper-16 Information | 22 |
| 17. | Paper-17 Information | 23 |
| 18. | Paper-18 Information | 24 |
| 19. | Paper-19 Information | 25 |
| 20. | Paper-20 Information | 26 |
| 21. | Paper-21 Information | 27 |
| 22. | Paper-22 Information | 28 |
| 23. | Paper-23 Information | 29 |
| 24. | Paper-24 Information | 30 |
| 25. | Paper-25 Information | 31 |
| 26. | Paper-26 Information | 32 |
| 27. | Paper-27 Information | 33 |
| 28. | Paper-28 Information | 34 |
| 29. | Paper-29 Information | 35 |
| 30. | Paper-30 Information | 36 |

**Introduction**


To generate testcases on the server-side according to user preferences and then helping the user to generate the output files.

Software testing is a major portion of software development process, so inspired by this thought we are trying to create a website where user can come and interact with the website and the website will help them to create testcases for their programming problems.

Like user can come and request testcases for graph or and array or a character array and side by side get the outputs for the inputs generated automatically.

**Languages/Framework Used:**



1. **Front-end:**

1. **Back-end:**



**Tools Used:**

**Project Repository Link:**

<https://github.com/awpCode/MajorProject>

**LITERATURE SURVEY**

Table 1: paper-1 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Comparative study on test case generation [1] |
| **Author** | Kulshreshtha M, Agarwal C and Kamalakannan J |
| **Publication Detail** | * November 2017 * IOP Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering 263(4):042035 |
| **Year** | 2017 |
| **Summary** | In this paper, applications of Genetic Algorithm in different types of program  testing is discussed. It is found that by using Genetic Algorithm, the  performance and the outcomes of testing can be highly improved.  Firstly, they generate test case generation using model-based testing, random based testing, genetic algorithm and test scenarios from the activity diagram and then for each scenario the corresponding sequence diagram should be generated. After analysing and investigating each category, its critical values and constraints are produced and particular test cases are determined. Test coverage criteria achieved is another advantage of their approach. |

Table 2: paper-2 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Automatic Software Test Case Generation: An Analytical  Classification Framework [2] |
| **Author** | Mohammad Reza Keyvanpour, Hajar Homayouni and Hossein Shirazee |
| **Publication Detail** | International Journal of Software Engineering and its Applications 6(4):1-16 |
| **Year** | 2017 |
| **Summary** | Software testing is the process of executing a program in order to find faults. Testing is a very important, though expensive phase in software development and maintenance; it has been estimated that software testing entails between 30 percent and 50 percent of software development [16].  A challenging part of this phase entails the generation of test cases. This generation is crucial to the success of the test because it is impossible to achieve a fully tested program given that the number of test cases needed for fully testing a software program is infinite, and a suitable design of test cases will be able to detect a great number of faults. For these reasons, the techniques for automatic generation of test cases try to efficiently find a small set of cases that allow an adequacy criterion to be fulfilled, thus reducing the cost of software testing and resulting in more efficient testing of software products.  A test case is a set of tests performed in a sequence and related to a test objective, which will produce a number of tests comprising specific input values, observed output, expected output, and any other information needed for the test to run, such as environment prerequisites [17].  In this paper we represented two different classification frameworks for the existing automatic test case generation approaches, and also have a brief look at each one. We described how to evaluate generated test cases, and introduce a classification of evaluation approaches. The results show that different approaches should be selected based on types of applications, features of software we want to test, technique’s complexity, and other features. Although there have been lots of researches on automatic test case generation problem, but for real world systems more researches are still needed. |

Table 3: paper-3 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Content Management in Ruby on Rails [3] |
| **Author** | Antonio Tapiador, Joaquín Salvachúa |
| **Publication Detail** | Universidad Politécnica de Madrid  Avda. Complutense 30, Madrid, Spain. |
| **Year** | 2012 |
| **Summary** | Web development is currently driven by model-view-controller (MVC) frameworks. How has content management adapted to this scenario? This paper reviews content management features in Ruby on Rails framework and its most popular plug-ins. These features are distributed among the different layers of the MVC architecture.  How has content management adapted to the arrival of web development frameworks? Using frameworks for web development has become a common practice. Model-view-controller (MVC) patterns facilitate development. They hide complexity, give structure and consistence and promote best practices. Their code is better tested. Finally, a framework becomes popular if it has something useful to offer.  Content management features keep up with Ruby on Rails development framework. Most of them are integrated in the framework itself, while others are distributed as plug-ins. However, some of them are more popular than others, even than the most popular full-featured content management solution. Content management features are transversal to the MVC architecture, they use some or even all the layers. |

Table 4: paper-4 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | New technologies for web development [4] |
| **Author** | **Grega Jakus, Matija Jekovec, Sašo Tomažič** and **Jaka Sodnik** |
| **Publication Detail** | Univerza v Ljubljani, Fakulteta za elektrotehniko, Tržaška 25, Ljubljana |
| **Year** | 2010 |
| **Summary** | The paper gives an overview of the new features of web technologies. The general idea of the new version of HTML (Hyper Text Mark-up Language), i.e. HTML5, and other tools presented in this paper is the formal specification and the establishment of uniform solutions for technologies and functionalities which have already been in use through various hacks and plug-ins proposed by web developers. Many of these functionalities will now be implemented in browsers. The applications can access these functionalities through newly defined application programming interfaces. The latter include support for multimedia, dynamic graphic rendering, geolocation, multithreading local data storage etc. HTML5 also introduces semantic mark-up, which can be used for marking the document structure as well as its elements and data. The new version of HTML enforces strict separation of the page content from its style. The styling can only be done using CSS (Cascading Style Sheets) language. The new CSS version, i.e. CSS3, has a modular structure, in which different modules define different styling features. The  development cycles of the individual modules are independent as well as their support and implementation in various browsers.  The general idea of HTML5 and other tools presented in this paper is the formal specification and the establishment of uniform solutions for technologies and functionalities which have already been in use through various hacks and plug-ins proposed by web developers. |

Table 5: paper-5 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | A Django Based Educational Resource Sharing Website [5] |
| **Author** | Adamya Shyam, Nitin Mukesh |
| **Publication Detail** | Article in Journal of Scientific Research · January 2020 |
| **Year** | 2020 |
| **Summary** | Technological Implementations in the field of Academics has helped Students as well as Professionals in very important ways. The availability of all educational resources helps the students a lot in their educational life. The paper illustrates a website model with the help of which Students can be able to access class notes, previous year question papers, syllabus, and can sell their old books from the same digital platform as well. The paper also describes the role of software engineering in project development. The project is developed on Django Framework; the backend development is in Python, Jinja2 and SQLite. The frontend consists of HTML, CSS and Java. Appropriate SDLC Model and Testing techniques have been used in the development process. Each step of the SDLC Model (Iterative Model) is described thoroughly and respective ER Diagrams and Flow Charts have been shown. The project developed is highly efficient, user-friendly and simple.  After analyzing the results obtained by the authors, the project developed can be considered satisfiable. It can be concluded that the website will be very helpful to students in their educational life as it provides all educational resources required in a college or school life. As the project works as an Educational cum E-Commerce Website and thus students can donate or sell their old books too. |

Table 6: paper-6 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Agile Development using Ruby on Rails Framework [6] |
| **Author** | DARSHANA VIKAS WAGHMARE, PROF. PRATIBHA ADKAR |
| **Publication Detail** | IRE Journals | Volume 2 Issue 9 | ISSN: 2456-8880 |
| **Year** | 2019 |
| **Summary** | Since Ruby on rails was established in 2004, ruby on rails is speedily becoming a powerful and standard framework for building web application. Rails is a development tool which gives web developers framework, providing structure for all the code they write. The Rails framework helps developers to build websites and applications, because it abstracts and simplifies common repetitive tasks. One of key principles of Ruby on Rails development is convention over configuration. This means that the programmer does not have to spend a lot of time configuring files in order to get setup, Ruby on Rails comes with a set of conventions which help speed up development. In this research paper we included detail information about Ruby on Rails like advantages of Ruby on Rails, MVC Architecture of Rails, Rails Framework and component of Ruby on Rails. Then explained Agile in detail. In agile we explained Agile Approach in Ruby on Rails, Agile Web Development Process, and explained Agile Development Methodology in Ruby on Rails for developing application. Then researcher has explained the web application which is develop in Ruby on Rails this application is develop by researcher.  Ruby on Rails is an open source web application development framework focused on Ruby programming languages and used extensively by agile programmer team has been popular for rapid web application development. The interesting aspect of ROR is programmer specify unusual configuration and as well as information used is unique and not duplicate. Rails is a perfect platform for Agile development practices. Ruby on Rails is extraordinary framework for creating web applications writing very little code in comparison |

Table 7: paper-7 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | On the Analysis of Cascading Style Sheets [7] |
| **Author** | Pierre Genevès. Nabil Layaïda, Vincent Quint |
| **Publication Detail** | WWW 2012 – Session: Web Engineering 1 April 16–20, 2012, Lyon, France |
| **Year** | 2012 |
| **Summary** | Developing and maintaining cascading style sheets (CSS) is an important issue to web developers as they suffer from the lack of rigorous methods. Most existing means rely on validators that check syntactic rules, and on runtime debuggers that check the behaviour of a CSS style sheet on a particular document instance. However, the aim of most style sheets is to be applied to an entire set of documents, usually defined by some schema. To this end, a CSS style sheet is usually written w.r.t. a given schema. While usual debugging tools help reducing the number of bugs, they do not ultimately allow to prove properties over the whole set of documents to which the style sheet is intended to be applied.  In this paper authors propose a novel approach to fill this lack. They introduce  ideas borrowed from the fields of logic and compile-time verification for the analysis of CSS style sheets. They present an original tool based on recent advances in tree logics. The tool is capable of statically detecting a wide range of errors (such as empty CSS selectors and semantically equivalent  selectors), as well as proving properties related to sets of documents (such as coverage of styling information), in the presence or absence of schema information. This new tool can be used in addition to existing runtime debuggers to ensure a higher level of quality of CSS style sheets.in comparison |

Table 8: paper-8 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Responsive Web Design and Web Development Using Bootstrap Frond-End Framework [8] |
| **Author** | Priyanka Panchal |
| **Publication Detail** | International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering, Vol:6 |
| **Year** | 2017 |
| **Summary** | Responsive Web design and Web Development which is aimed to provide design and development should respond to the user’s behavior and environment based on screen size, platform and orientation. A web design implementation consists of a mix of flexible grids and layouts, images and an intelligent use of HTML5 & CSS3 media queries. The web site layout changes based on the size and capabilities of the device. As the user switches from their laptop to Smartphone or any other such devices like iPad, iPhone, Tablet, etc., the website should automatically switch to provide accommodation for resolution, image size and scripting abilities. The website should have the technology to automatically respond to the user’s preferences. It is worth putting extra consideration that, this would remove the need for a different design and development phase for each new gadget on the market. Responsive web design (RWD) is a web design approach basically aimed at crafting sites to provide an optimal viewing experience. In this paper also discuss about twitter bootstrap and angularJS framework which is an important toolkit for responsive web development **(such as easy reading and navigation with a minimum of resizing, panning, and scrolling — across a wide range of devices from desktop computer monitors to mobile phones).**  Responsive Web Design is a rising trend that involves designing websites and applications for optimal presentation across multiple devices and screen sizes using a single code base. The major advantages of Responsive Web Design consist of a single code base that provides easy and low maintenance along with a distinct version of the website that improves SEO. Therefore, if you want a solution that’s easy to maintain, makes use of existing skills, framework or tool and that you can control, then Responsive Web Design is the approach for you |

Table 9: paper-9 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Website Development Optimization Using Xampp/PHP [9] |
| **Author** | PunamKumari and Rainu Nandal |
| **Publication Detail** | International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science |
| **Year** | 2017 |
| **Summary** | This research paper discussing the various useful tools and techniques that are used in a development of a website. They also discuss about the procedure follow in a website, mostly focused on a local host named Xampp tool. Next, they compare different development frameworks web application. In addition, they discuss life cycle model and framework development of web application. In this report, various review papers result also included for understanding of problems can be facing by the users. This Paper tells about the technologies used in this development, PHP and explained in result its functionality with Xampp with screenshots. It is hoped it will give a useful framework for guiding the process.  Most necessary things for a website is selecting a programming language. Mostly web design using HTML and CSS. For web designing not necessary high level knowledge of HTML. We can say features like as webpage formatting, designing, page layout techniques, graphics, multimedia, images and functions of multipage website should be including. After programming language to see the layout of webpage should a test server. The reason behind is developer is using programming language, if will be the expert of language but still running often these mistakes cannot be found, there is a need to execute server side coding to see the preview by a test server. This paper discussing about the test server using in a website development named Xampp and PHP language. |

Table 10: paper-10 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | A Review Paper on MERN Stack for Web Development [10] |
| **Author** | Pragati Bhardwaj, Dr Dinesh Kumar |
| **Publication Detail** | International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering |
| **Year** | 2018 |
| **Summary** | The stacks used in web development are basically the response of software engineers to current demands. They have essentially adopted preexisting frameworks (including JavaScript) to make their lives easier. While there are many, MEAN and MERN are just two of the popular stacks that have evolved out of JavaScript. Both of these stacks are made up of open source components and offer an end-to-end framework for building comprehensive web apps that enable browsers to connect with databases. The common theme between the two is JavaScript and this is also the key benefit of using either stack. You can basically avoid any syntax errors or any confusion by just coding in one programming language, JavaScript. Another advantage of building your next web project with MEAN or MERN is the fact that you benefit from its enhanced flexibility.  React gives you freedom and simplicity. We have to write less code to do more in React, also React has better performance than Angular due to React’s implementation of a virtual DOM. ReactJS can be used for both client and server side that means you have not to learn two language for server and client side. In React the changes in Application are easy to satisfy the client need. The paper has discussed about the use of mean stack for development along with its definition and features. |

Table 11: paper-11 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | A Rails / Django Comparison [11] |
| **Author** | Ben Askins and Alan Green |
| **Publication Detail** | Open Source Developer's Conference, 5-8 December, 2006 in Melbourne, Australia |
| **Year** | 2006 |
| **Summary** | Ruby on Rails (“Rails”) is the dominant web programming framework for Ruby and, even outside the Ruby community, is considered the epitome of the latest generation of high productivity, open source web development tools. Django is one of many competing web development frameworks for Python. It is notable, first, for being highly regarded amongst Python programmers, and second, for being one of the few of the new generation of framework that does not ape Ruby on Rails. Both Rails and Django claim greatly enhanced productivity, compared with more traditional web development frameworks. In this paper, authors compare the two frameworks from the point of view of a developer attempting to choose one of the two frameworks for a new project.  Django and Rails aim to solve similar problems, in a similar manner, using a similar architecture. There is no clear technical benefit for an experienced Rails development team to switch to Django or for an experienced Django development team to switch to Rails. For developers not currently working with either Django or Rails, the most important consideration is the implementation language. Ruby developers would benefit from using Rails, while Python developers would benefit from using Django, allowing them to apply skills they already have. For developers who know neither (or both) languages, the “best” framework will depend on the development environment and type of application. |

Table 12: paper-12 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Survey on NoSQL database [12] |
| **Author** | [Jing Han](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/37086891563); [Haihong E](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/37086894770); [Guan Le](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/37086894962); [Jian Du](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/37086895186) |
| **Publication Detail** | [2011 6th International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Applications](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/conhome/6094118/proceeding) |
| **Year** | 2011 |
| **Summary** | With the development of the Internet and cloud computing, there need databases to be able to store and process big data effectively, demand for high-performance when reading and writing, so the traditional relational database is facing many new challenges. Especially in large scale and high-concurrency applications, such as search engines and SNS, using the relational database to store and query dynamic user data has appeared to be inadequate. In this case, NoSQL database created. This paper describes the background, basic characteristics, data model of NoSQL. In addition, this paper classifies NoSQL databases according to the CAP theorem. Finally, the mainstream NoSQL databases are separately described in detail, and extract some properties to help enterprises to choose NoSQL.  A common misconception is that NoSQL databases or non-relational databases don’t store relationship data well. NoSQL databases can store relationship data—they just store it differently than relational databases do. In fact, [when compared with SQL databases](https://www.mongodb.com/nosql-explained/nosql-vs-sql), many find modelling relationship data in NoSQL databases to be easier than in SQL databases, because related data doesn’t have to be split between tables. NoSQL data models allow related data to be nested within a single data structure.  NoSQL databases emerged in the late 2000s as the cost of storage dramatically decreased. Gone were the days of needing to create a complex, difficult-to-manage data model simply for the purposes of reducing data duplication. Developers (rather than storage) were becoming the primary cost of software development, so NoSQL databases optimized for developer productivity. |

Table 13: paper-13 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title** | Will NoSQL Databases Live Up to Their Promise? [13] |
| **Author** | [Neal Leavitt](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/37284031700) |
| **Publication Detail** | [Computer ( Volume: 43 , Issue: 2 , Feb. 2010 )](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/conhome/6094118/proceeding) |
| **Year** | 2010 |
| **Summary** | Many organizations collect vast amounts of customer, scientific, sales, and other data for future analysis. Traditionally, most of these organizations have stored structured data in relational databases for subsequent access and analysis. However, a growing number of developers and users have begun turning to various types of nonrelational, now frequently called NoSQL-databases. Nonrelational databases, including hierarchical, graph, and object-oriented databases-have been around since the late 1960s. However, new types of NoSQL databases are being developed. And only now are they beginning to gain market traction. Different NoSQL databases take different approaches. What they have in common is that they're not relational. Their primary advantage is that, unlike relational databases, they handle unstructured data such as word-processing files, e-mail, multimedia, and social media efficiently. This paper discusses issues such as limitations, advantages, concerns and doubts regarding NoSQL databases. |

Table 14: paper-14 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | Security Issues in NoSQL Databases [14] |
| Author | [Lior Okman](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/38316758900); [Nurit Gal-Oz](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/38296922600); [Yaron Gonen](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/38317701100); [Ehud Gudes](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/37282954700); [Jenny Abramov](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/author/38286680800) |
| Publication Detail | [2011IEEE 10th International Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/conhome/6094118/proceeding) |
| Year | 2010 |
| Summary | The recent advance in cloud computing and distributed web applications has created the need to store large amount of data in distributed databases that provide high avail- ability and scalability. In recent years, a growing number of companies have adopted various types of non-relational databases, commonly referred to as NoSQL databases, and as the applications they serve emerge, they gain extensive market interest.  These new database systems are not relational by definition and therefore they do not support full SQL functionality. Moreover, as opposed to relational databases they trade consistency and security for performance and scalability. As increasingly sensitive data is being stored in NoSQL databases, security issues become growing concerns.  This paper reviews two of the most popular NoSQL databases (Cassandra and MongoDB) and outlines their main security features and problems. |

Table 15 : paper-15 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | Implementation and Performance Analysis of PBKDF2, Bcrypt, Scrypt Algorithms [15] |
| Author | Levent Ertaul, Manpreet Kaur, Venkata Arun Kumar R Gudise |
| Publication Detail | Int'l Conf. Wireless Networks | ICWN'16 | |
| Year | 2016 |
| Summary | With the increase in mobile wireless technologies, security breaches are also increasing. It has become critical to safeguard our sensitive information from the wrongdoers. So, having strong password is pivotal. As almost every website needs you to login and create a password, it’s tempting to use same password for numerous websites like banks, shopping and social networking websites. This way we are making our information easily accessible to hackers. Hence, we need a strong application for password security and management.  In this paper, authors are going to compare the performance of 3 key derivation algorithms, namely, PBKDF2 (Password Based Key Derivation Function), Bcrypt and Scrypt. They have developed an android application by which we will measure the complexity and time required to generate the hash of the password. This will give us an idea about the effectiveness of these 3 algorithms. Performance comparison and analysis is also given in this paper. |

Table 16: Paper-16 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | From Desktop Applications Towards Ajax Web Applications [28] |
| Author | J. Sergio Zepeda, Sergio V. Chapa |
| Publication Detail | 2007 4th International Conference on Electrical and Electronics Engineering |
| Year | 2007 |
| Summary | Ajax is a set of different technologies that work together to create new and powerful Web applications. Ajax is demonstrating its usefulness in real world applications. The most important Internet companies as: Google, Yahoo, Amazon, Microsoft, are developing rich Web applications based on Ajax. Many developers do not know how to use these technologies to build Ajax Applications. In this paper, authors present an overview about Ajax. Here, they discuss the term Ajax and the technologies used. Also, they show how Ajax is working inside, and how the technologies work together to achieve a rich behavior. |

Table 17: Paper-17 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | A case study-based comparison of web testing techniques applied to AJAX web applications[29] |
| Author | Alessandro Marchetto, Filippo Ricca & Paolo Tonella |
| Publication Detail | International Journal on Software Tools for Technology Transfer volume |
| Year | 2008 |
| Summary | Asynchronous JavaScript And XML (AJAX) is a recent technology used to develop rich and dynamic Web applications. Different from traditional Web applications, AJAX applications consist of a single page whose elements are updated dynamically in response to callbacks activated asynchronously by the user or by a server message. On the one hand, AJAX improves the responsiveness and usability of a Web application, but on the other hand, it makes the testing phase more difficult. In this paper, our state-based testing technique, developed to test AJAX-based applications, is compared to existing Web testing techniques, such as white-box and black-box ones. To this aim, an experiment based on two case studies has been conducted to evaluate effectiveness and test effort involved in the compared Web testing techniques. In particular, the capability of each technique to reveal injected faults of different fault categories is analyzed in detail. The associated effort was also measured. The results show that state-based testing is complementary to the existing Web testing techniques and can reveal faults otherwise unnoticed or hard to reveal with the other techniques. |

Table 18: Paper-18 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | A performance comparison of SQL and NoSQL databases [30] |
| Author | Yishan Li, Sathiamoorthy Manoharan |
| Publication Detail | 2013 IEEE Pacific Rim Conference on Communications, Computers and Signal Processing (PACRIM) |
| Year | 2013 |
| Summary | With the current emphasis on “Big Data”, NoSQL databases have surged in popularity. These databases are claimed to perform better than SQL databases. In this paper, authors aim to independently investigate the performance of some NoSQL and SQL databases in the light of key-value stores. They compare read, write, delete, and instantiate operations on key-value stores implemented by NoSQL and SQL databases. Besides, they also investigate an additional operation: iterating through all keys. An abstract key-value pair framework supporting these basic operations is designed and implemented using all the databases tested. Experimental results measure the timing of these operations and they summarize their findings of how the databases stack up against each other. Their results show that not all NoSQL databases perform better than SQL databases. Some are much worse. And for each database, the performance varies with each operation. Some are slow to instantiate, but fast to read, write, and delete. Others are fast to instantiate but slow on the other operations. And there is little correlation between performance and the data model each database uses. |

Table 19: Paper-19 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | An analysis of the dynamic behavior of JavaScript programs[31] |
| Author | Gregor Richards, Sylvain Lebresne, Brian Burg, J. Vitek |
| Publication Detail | ACM SIGPLAN Notices |
| Year | 2010 |
| Summary | The JavaScript programming language is widely used for web programming and, increasingly, for general purpose computing. As such, improving the correctness, security and performance of JavaScript applications has been the driving force for research in type systems, static analysis and compiler techniques for this language. Many of these techniques aim to reign in some of the most dynamic features of the language, yet little seems to be known about how programmers actually utilize the language or these features. In this paper authors perform an empirical study of the dynamic behavior of a corpus of widely-used JavaScript programs, and analyze how and why the dynamic features are used. They report on the degree of dynamism that is exhibited by these JavaScript programs and compare that with assumptions commonly made in the literature and accepted industry benchmark suites. |

Table 20: Paper-20 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | Automatic structuring and retrieval of large text files[32] |
| Author | Gerard M Salton, James Allan, Chris Alan Buckley |
| Publication Detail | Communications of the ACM |
| Year | 1994 |
| Summary | In many operational environments, large text files must be processed covering a wide variety of different topic areas. Aids must then be provided to the user that permit collection browsing and make it possible to locate particular items on demand. The conventional text analysis methods based on preconstructed knowledge-bases and other vocabulary-control tools are difficult to apply when the subject coverage is unrestricted. An alternative approach, applicable to text collections in any subject area, is introduced which uses the document collections themselves as a basis for the text analysis, together with sophisticated text matching operations carried out at several levels of detail. Methods are described for relating semantically similar pieces of text, and for using the resulting hypertext structures for collection browsing and information retrieval. |

Table 21: Paper-21 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | To Docker or Not to Docker: A Security Perspective[33] |
| Author | Theo Combe, Antony Martin, Roberto Di Pietro |
| Publication Detail | IEEE Cloud Computing |
| Year | 2016 |
| Summary | The need for ever-shorter development cycles, continuous delivery, and cost savings in cloud-based infrastructures led to the rise of containers, which are more flexible than virtual machines and provide near-native performance. Among all container solutions, Docker, a complete packaging and software delivery tool, currently leads the market. This article gives an overview of the container ecosystem and discusses the Docker environment's security implications through realistic use cases. The authors define an adversary model, point out several vulnerabilities affecting current Docker usage, and discuss further research directions. |

Table 22: Paper-22 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | An Introduction to Docker and Analysis of its Performance[34] |
| Author | Babak Bashari Rad, Harrison John Bhatti, Mohammad Ahmadi |
| Publication Detail | International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security |
| Year | 2017 |
| Summary | Docker provide some facilities, which are useful for developers and administrators. It is an open platform can be used for building, distributing, and running applications in a portable, lightweight runtime and packaging tool, known as Docker Engine. It also provide Docker Hub, which is a cloud service for sharing applications. Costs can be reduced by replacing traditional virtual machine with docker container. It excellently reduces the cost of re-building the cloud development platform. |

Table 23: Paper-23 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | Agile Software Development Methods: Review and Analysis[35] |
| Author | Pekka Abrahamsson, Outi Salo, Jussi Ronkainen, Juhani Warsta |
| Publication Detail | VTT Publication |
| Year | 2002 |
| Summary | Agile - denoting "the quality of being agile, readiness for motion, nimbleness, activity, dexterity in motion" - software development methods are attempting to offer an answer to the eager business community asking for lighter weight along with faster and nimbler software development processes. This is especially the case with the rapidly growing and volatile Internet software industry as well as for the emerging mobile application environment. The new agile methods have evoked substantial amount of literature and debates. However, academic research on the subject is still scarce, as most of existing publications are written by practitioners or consultants. The aim of this publication is to begin filling this gap by systematically reviewing the existing literature on agile software development methodologies. This publication has three purposes. First, it proposes a definition and a classification of agile software development approaches. Second, it analyses ten software development methods that can be characterized as being "agile" against the defined criterion. Third, it compares these methods and highlights their similarities and differences. Based on this analysis, future research needs are identified and discussed. |

Table 24: Paper-24 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | Agile software development: the business of innovation[36] |
| Author | J. Highsmith, A. Cockburn |
| Publication Detail | IEEE |
| Year | 2001 |
| Summary | The rise and fall of the dotcom-driven Internet economy shouldn't distract us from seeing that the business environment continues to change at a dramatically increasing pace. To thrive in this turbulent environment, we must confront the business need for relentless innovation and forge the future workforce culture. Agile software development approaches, such as extreme programming, Crystal methods, lean development, Scrum, adaptive software development (ASD) and others, view change from a perspective that mirrors today's turbulent business and technology environment. |

Table 25: Paper-25 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | A decade of agile methodologies: Towards explaining agile software development[37] |
| Author | Torgeir Dingsoyr, Sridhar Nerur, Venu Gopal Balijepally, Nils BredeMoea |
| Publication Detail | Journal of Systems and Software |
| Year | 2012 |
| Summary | Ever since the agile manifesto was created in 2001, the research community has devoted a great deal of attention to agile software development. This article examines publications and citations to illustrate how the research on agile has progressed in the 10 years following the articulation of the manifesto. Specifically, authors delineate the conceptual structure underlying agile scholarship by performing an analysis of authors who have made notable contributions to the field. Further, they summarize prior research and introduce contributions in this special issue on agile software development. They conclude by discussing directions for future research and urging agile researchers to embrace a theory-based approach in their scholarship. |

Table 26: Paper-26 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | SCRUM Development Process[38] |
| Author | Ken Schwaber |
| Publication Detail | Springer, London |
| Year | 1997 |
| Summary | The stated, accepted philosophy for systems development is that the development process is a well understood approach that can be planned, estimated, and successfully completed. This has proven incorrect in practice. SCRUM assumes that the systems development process is an unpredictable, complicated process that can only be roughly described as an overall progression. SCRUM defines the systems development process as a loose set of activities that combines known, workable tools and techniques with the best that a development team can devise to build systems. Since these activities are loose, controls to manage the process and inherent risk are used. SCRUM is an enhancement of the commonly used iterative/incremental object-oriented development cycle. |

Table 27: Paper-27 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | Ruby on Rails[39] |
| Author | Michael Bächle, Paul Kirchberg |
| Publication Detail | IEEE |
| Year | 2007 |
| Summary | Ruby on Rails is an open source framework developed to increase programmer productivity and reduce entry barriers to programming Web applications. Ruby on Rails is a novel Web 2.0 framework that attempts to combine PHP's simple immediacy with Java's architecture, purity, and quality. RoR is based on the dynamically typed, object-oriented Ruby programming language.  Rails is a web application development framework written in the Ruby programming language. It is designed to make programming web applications easier by making assumptions about what every developer needs to get started. It allows you to write less code while accomplishing more than many other languages and frameworks. Experienced Rails developers also report that it makes web application development more fun.  Rails is opinionated software. It makes the assumption that there is a "best" way to do things, and it's designed to encourage that way - and in some cases to discourage alternatives. If you learn "The Rails Way" you'll probably discover a tremendous increase in productivity. If you persist in bringing old habits from other languages to your Rails development, and trying to use patterns you learned elsewhere, you may have a less happy experience. |

Table 28: Paper-28 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | Analyzing best practices on Web development frameworks: The lift approach[40] |
| Author | María del Pilar Salas Záratea, Giner Alor Hernándezb, Rafael Valencia Garcíaa, Lisbeth Rodríguez Mazahuab, Alejandro Rodríguez Gonzálezce, José Luis López Cuadradod |
| Publication Detail | Science of Computer Programming |
| Year | 2015 |
| Summary | Choosing the Web framework that best fits the requirements is not an easy task for developers. Several frameworks now exist to develop Web applications, such as Struts, JSF, Ruby on Rails, Grails, CakePHP, Django, and Catalyst. However, Lift is a relatively new framework that emerged in 2007 for the Scala programming language and which promises a great number of advantages and additional features. Companies such as Siemens and IBM, as well as social networks such as Twitter and Foursquare, have now begun to develop their applications by using Scala and Lift. Best practices are activities, technical or important issues identified by users in a specific context, and which have rendered excellent service and are expected to achieve similar results in similar situations. Each framework has its own best practices whose aim is to facilitate the development of Web applications. However, there is no current comparative analysis that identifies the best practices for Web frameworks. Thus, as its salient contribution, this paper identifies a set of best practices for Web frameworks. Afterwards, these best practices were analyzed and discussed in terms of developing Lift-based Web applications. The identification of these best practices would allow developers to construct more interactive and efficient Lift-based Web applications, integrating features of Web 2.0 technologies with less effort and exploiting the frameworks' benefits. In addition, this paper contains a comparative analysis with Web frameworks such as JSF, Struts, CakePHP, Ruby on Rails, Lift, Django, and Catalyst. Finally, as proof of concept, a set of Lift-based Web applications were developed for this paper by applying best practices such as actors, lazy loading, Comet support, SiteMap, Wiring, HyperText Markup Language, version 5 (HTML5) support, and parallel rendering. |

Table 29: Paper-29 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | New model for the selection of web development frameworks: application to PHP frameworks[41] |
| Author | Khaoula Benmoussa, Majida Laaziri, Samira Khoulji, Mohamed Larbi Kerkeb, Abir El Yamami |
| Publication Detail | International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering |
| Year | 2019 |
| Summary | The use of a framework is often essential for medium and large scale developments, but is also of interest for small developments. PHP has evolved as the scripting language the most chosen by developers, which has generated an explosion of PHP frameworks. There is a big debate about what the best PHP frameworks are, because the simple fact is that not all frameworks are built for everyone. Indeed, not all frameworks meet the same needs, and several frameworks can be used together in certain situations. Choosing the right framework, however, can sometimes be difficult. In order to make the selection process easier, authors propose a pragmatic and complete model to compare and evaluate the main PHP frameworks. This model is based on a set of comparison criteria based on the Intrinsic durability, industrialized solution, technical adaptability, strategy, technical architecture, and Speed criteria. Results show that the values of these criteria allow developers to easily and properly choose the framework that best meets their needs. |

Table 30: Paper-30 Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Title | A comparison model for agile web frameworks[42] |
| Author | José Ignacio Fernández-Villamor, Laura Díaz-Casillas, Carlos A. Iglesias |
| Publication Detail | Proceedings of the 2008 Euro American conference on Telematics and Information Systems |
| Year | 2008 |
| Summary | Nowadays, web development is one of the main activities in software development, with a wide array of tools that make it difficult for developers to deal with its heterogeneity. The appearance of Ruby on Rails has brought a new paradigm to current web development frameworks, and has shown how an agile web development framework can simplify the development process, with a considerable productivity increment. There are several Java-based alternatives to Ruby on Rails, such as Grails, Roma, Trails, JBoss Seam or Sails, with different approaches to the reuse of previous Java frameworks and technologies. This paper proposes a comparison model for agile web frameworks to facilitate developers the selection of the most suitable for each case. This paper reviews the state of the art of agile web frameworks. Afterwards, a comparison model based on a set of evaluation criteria is defined for web framework evaluation. Finally, the model is applied to the most popular web frameworks. |

**Conclusions:**

We have successfully created the complete business logic for the problem statement mentioned.

The research papers we studied helped us in thinking of the different structures available to design our website.

Overall, we think this is an innovative idea as no such site exists which helps users in the way we are doing.

**Future Work:**

1. We were unable to find relevant papers for testcase generation of a graph, like a graph can take many forms like **Dense Graph, Bipartite Graph, Disconnected Graph etc.**
2. Choice of testcases can vary from user to user and no such research paper consider this parameter while constructing testcases.
3. If user uploads a pre written code on a website and requests for testcases for the code he uploaded. In this case we need to pass through a large number of iterations to get the result for the user. This factor is also missed in the research field.
4. Jdoodle Api call must be made asynchronous.
5. CI/CD Principles can be used to maintain the Devops Environment.

**Identified Research Gaps:**

1. We were unable to find relevant papers for testcase generation of a graph, like a graph can take many forms like **Dense Graph, Bipartite Graph, Disconnected Graph etc.**
2. Choice of testcases can vary from user to user and no such research paper consider this parameter while constructing testcases.
3. If user uploads a pre written code on a website and requests for testcases for the code he uploaded. In this case we need to pass through a large number of iterations to get the result for the user. This factor is also missed in the research field.

**References:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| [1] | <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321482764_Comparative_study_on_test_case_generation_a_survey> |
| [2] | <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/288645078_Automatic_software_test_case_generation_An_analytical_classification_framework> |
| [3] | <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230868377_Content_Management_in_Ruby_on_Rails> |
| [4] | <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228742449_New_technologies_for_web_development> |
| [5] | <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339197695_A_Django_Based_Educational_Resource_Sharing_Website_Shreic> |
| [6] | <https://irejournals.com/formatedpaper/1701034.pdf> |
| [7] | <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254008906_On_the_Analysis_of_Cascading_Style_Sheets> |
| [8] | <https://www.ijarse.com/images/fullpdf/1498735993_GOA_1005_ijarse.pdf> |
| [9] | <http://www.ijarcs.info/index.php/Ijarcs/article/view/3792> |
| [10 | <http://www.ijircce.com/upload/2018/april/67_A%20Review.pdf> |
| [11] | <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/230921159.pdf> |
| [12] | <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6106531> |
| [13] | <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/5410700/> |
| [14] | <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6120863> |
| [15] | <http://worldcomp-proceedings.com/proc/p2016/ICW3865.pdf> |
| [16] | R. Blanco, J.Tuya and B. Adenso-Díaz, “Automated test data generation using scatter-search approach”, Information and Software technology, vol. 51, Issue 4, **(2009)**, pp. 708-720 |
| [17] | B. N. Biswal, S. S. Bar panda and D. P. Mohapatra, International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 1, Issue 14, **(2010)**. |
| [18] | Kuhlman, D. (2011). A Python Book: Beginning Python, Advanced Python, and Python Exercises. Platypus Global Media |
| [19] | Holovaty, A., & Kaplan-Moss, J. (2008). The Definitive Guide to Django: Web development done right. Après. |
| [20] | <https://docs.python.org/3/tutorial/index.html> |
| [21] | <https://www.w3schools.com/TAGS/default.ASP> |
| [22] | <https://www.oreilly.com/library/view/the-ruby-programming/9780596516178/> |
| [23] | <https://selenium-python.readthedocs.io/> |
| [24] | <https://www.w3schools.com/css/> |
| [25] | <https://rubyonrails.org/> |
| [26] | <https://www.javascript.com/> |
| [27] | <https://docs.djangoproject.com/en/3.1/> |
| [28] | https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4345005 |
| [29] | https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10009-008-0086-x |
| [30] | https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261079289\_A\_performance\_comparison\_of\_SQL\_and\_NoSQL\_databases |
| [31] | https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/1809028.1806598 |
| [32] | https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=175243 |
| [33] | https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7742298 |
| [34] | https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318816158\_An\_Introduction\_to\_Docker\_and\_Analysis\_of\_its\_Performance |
| [35] | https://www.researchgate.net/publication/292542090\_Agile\_Software\_Development\_Methods\_Review\_and\_Analysis |
| [36] | https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/947100 |
| [37] | https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0164121212000532 |
| [38] | https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4471-0947-1\_11 |
| [39] | https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/4375251 |
| [40] | https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167642314005735 |
| [41] | https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330656300\_A\_new\_model\_for\_the\_selection\_of\_web\_development\_frameworks\_application\_to\_PHP\_frameworks |
| [42] | https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221463561\_A\_comparison\_model\_for\_agile\_web\_frameworks |